arXiv:1604.05760v1 [math.AP] 19 Apr 2016

THE BOLTZMANN EQUATION WITH WEAKLY INHOMOGENEOUS DATA IN BOUNDED DOMAIN

YAN GUO AND SHUANGQIAN LIU

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the Boltzmann equation with specular reflection boundary condition. We construct a unique global solution and obtain its large time asymptotic behavior in the case that the initial data is close enough to a radially symmetric homogeneous datum. The result extends the case of Cauchy problem considered by Arkeryd-Esposito-Pulvirenti [Comm. Math. Phys. 111(3): 393-407 (1987)] to the specular reflection boundary value problem in bounded domain.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. The problem 1
1.2. Domain and Characteristics 2
1.3. Main results 3
2. Preliminary 4
3. Local existence for the weakly inhomogeneous data 5
4. Exponential decay for the small data around a global Maxwellian 7
5. The proof of Theorem 1.1 12
References 13

1. Introduction

1.1. **The problem.** If a dilute gas is contained in a bounded region with completely smooth boundary surface and the gas molecules collide the surface elastically, the motion of those gas particles can be modeled by the following initial value problem for the Botlzmann equation with specular reflection boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t F + v\cdot\nabla_x F = Q(F,F),\ t>0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3, \\ F(0,x,v) = F_0(x,v),\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3, \\ F(t,x,v)|_{n(x)\cdot v<0} = F(t,x,R_x v),\ R_x v = v-2(v\cdot n(x))n(x),\quad t\geq 0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $F(t,x,v)\geq 0$ denotes the density distribution function of the gas particles at time $t\geq 0$, position $x\in\Omega$, and velocity $v\in\mathbb{R}^3$, Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $n(x)$ is the outward pointing unit norm vector at boundary $x\in\partial\Omega$. The Boltzmann collision operator $Q(\cdot,\cdot)$ for hard sphere model is given as the following non-symmetric form

$$\begin{aligned} Q(F_1,F_2) =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |(u-v)\cdot\omega|[F_1(u')F_2(v') - F_1(u)F_2(v)]dud\omega \\ =& Q_{\text{gain}}(F_1,F_2) - Q_{\text{loss}}(F_1,F_2), \end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q20, Secondary: 35B07, 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Weakly inhomogeneous data, specular reflection boundary condition, L^2-L^∞ approach.

here, (u, v) and (u', v') stand for the velocities of the particles before and after the collision and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} v' = v + [(u - v) \cdot \omega]\omega, \quad u' = u - [(u - v) \cdot \omega]\omega, \\ |u|^2 + |v|^2 = |u'|^2 + |v'|^2. \end{cases}$$

In the present paper, we study the existence of unique global solution of (1.1) and its time asymptotic behaviors when the initial particle distribution $F_0(x, v)$ is a small perturbation around a spatially homogeneous radially symmetric datum. Specifically speaking, let $G_0(v) = G(|v|)$ be a spatially homogeneous datum and set $F_0 = G_0 + f_0$. We hope to construct unique global solution of (1.1) in the case that f_0 is small in a suitable sense.

It is known from [8] that there exists a unique global radially symmetric solution $G(t, v) = G(t, |v|)$ to the Cauchy problem for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t G = Q(G, G), \ t > 0, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ G(0, v) = G_0(v) = G_0(|v|), \ v \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Based on such a seminal work by Carleman, the aforementioned problem was first considered by Arkeryd-Esposito-Pulvirentic [4], in which they constructed the global existence for the Cauchy problem for the inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation with weakly inhomogeneous datum, namely the initial distribution is sufficiently close to the spatially homogeneous datum in (1.2). Since then, very few results were known regarding the inhomogeneous Botlzmann equation with weakly inhomogeneous datum, although there are extensive investigations on the homogeneous Boltzmann equation (1.2), see [1, 2, 15, 26] and reference therein.

1.2. **Domain and Characteristics.** Throughout this paper, Ω is a connected and bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is defined as $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \xi(x) < 0\}$ with $\xi(x)$ being a smooth function. Let $\nabla\xi(x) \neq 0$ at boundary $\xi(x) = 0$, the outward pointing unit normal vector at every point $x \in \partial\Omega$ is given by

$$n(x) = \frac{\nabla\xi(x)}{|\nabla\xi(x)|}.$$

If there exists $c_\xi > 0$, for any $\zeta = (\zeta^1, \zeta^2, \zeta^3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\partial_{ij}\xi(x)\zeta^i\zeta^j \geq c_\xi|\zeta|^2, \tag{1.3}$$

then we say Ω is *strictly convex*. To take care of the specular reflection boundary condition $(1.1)_3$ (the third equation of (1.1)), we may also require Ω has a *rotational symmetry*, that is, there are vectors x_0 and ϖ, such that for all $x \in \partial\Omega$

$$\{(x - x_0) \times \varpi\} \cdot n(x) \equiv 0. \tag{1.4}$$

For the sake of convenience, the phase boundary in the phase space $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is denoted by $\gamma = \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$, and we further split it into the following three kinds:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{outgoing boundary} &: \gamma_+ = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \ : \ n(x) \cdot v > 0\}, \\ \text{incoming boundary} &: \gamma_- = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \ : \ n(x) \cdot v < 0\}, \\ \text{grazing boundary} &: \gamma_0 = \{(x, v) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \ : \ n(x) \cdot v = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Given (t, x, v), we let $[X(s), V(s)]$ satisfy

$$\frac{dX(s)}{ds} = V(s), \quad \frac{dV(s)}{ds} = 0,$$

with the initial data $[X(t; t, x, v), V(t; t, x, v)] = [x, v]$. Then $[X(s; t, x, v), V(s; t, x, v)] = [x - (t - s)v, v] = [X(s), V(s)]$, which is called as the backward characteristic trajectory for the Boltzmann equation $(1.1)_1$.

For $(x,v)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$, the *backward exit time* $t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)>0$ is defined to be the first moment at which the backward characteristic line $[X(s;0,x,v),V(s;0,x,v)]$ emerges from $\partial\Omega$:

$$t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)=\inf\{\ t>0: x-tv\notin\partial\Omega\},$$

and we also define $x_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)=x-t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)v\in\partial\Omega$. Note that for any (x,v), we use $t_{\mathbf{b}}(x,v)$ whenever it is well-defined.

1.3. **Main results.** Our main goal in the paper is to prove the global existence and obtain the time asymptotic behaviors of the initial boundary value problem (1.1) on the condition that the initial datum F_0 is sufficiently close to a homogeneous initial datum $G_0(v)$ in an appropriate sense. Let us first assume the homogeneous data G_0 shares the same mass and energy conservation laws with F_0:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}G_0\begin{pmatrix}1\\ v^2\end{pmatrix}dv=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}F_0\begin{pmatrix}1\\ v^2\end{pmatrix}dv=\begin{pmatrix}1\\ 3\end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, if the domain Ω has any axis of rotational symmetry (1.4), then we further assume the corresponding conservation of angular momentum is valid for the initial data F_0

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3}\{(x-x_0)\times\varpi\}\cdot vF_0(t,x,v)dxdv=0. \tag{1.6}$$

Through the paper, the global Maxwellian μ is defined as $(2\pi)^{-3/2}e^{-\frac{|v|^2}{2}}$. Denote $\langle v\rangle=\sqrt{1+|v|^2}$ and we introduce a velocity weight $w_l=\langle v\rangle^l$ with $l\geq 0$. We use $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to denote the $L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3_v)-$norm or $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_v)-$norm. Moreover, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the L^2 inner product in $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ with the L^2 norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

We now state our main results in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that ξ is both strictly convex* (1.3) *and analytic. Suppose* (1.5) *is valid. In the case of Ω has any rotational symmetry* (1.4)*, we further require the corresponding angular momentum* (1.6) *holds. There exists $l_0>6$ such that if $\|w_{l_0}G_0\|_\infty<\infty$, then there exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that for $F_0(x,v)\geq 0$ and $\|w_{l_0}[F_0-G_0]\|_\infty\leq\varepsilon_0$, there exists a unique solution $F(t,x,v)\geq 0$ to the Boltzmann equation* (1.1) *satisfying $\sup_{0\leq t\leq\infty}\|w_{l_0}F(t)\|_\infty<\infty$. Moreover,*

$$\|w_{l_0}[F(t)-\mu]\|_\infty\to 0,\ \ as\ t\to\infty.$$

In addition, if $F_0(x,v)$ is continuous except on the set γ_0, then $F(t,x,v)$ is continuous in $[0,\infty)\times\{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\gamma_0\}$.

Let us now give a brief review on the existing results devoted to the Boltzmann equation with specular reflection boundary condition. For the initial boundary value problem (1.1), if the initial data F_0 is allowed to be sufficiently close to the the absolute Maxwellian μ, many advances have occurred over the past few decades. Shizuta-Asano [27] announced that the solutions to the Boltzmann equation near a Maxwellian would tend exponentially to the same equilibrium in a smooth bounded convex domain, although there is no complete rigorous proof in the paper. Ukai [28] presented a general idea for proving the existence and time convergence to a global Maxwellian for the initial boundary value problem with hard potential. Golse-Perthame-Sulem [16] investigated the stationary boundary layers of the Boltzmann equation around the global Maxellian in half spatial space in the case of hard spheres model. By applying a basic energy method, Yang-Zhao [31] proved the stability of the rarefaction waves for the one dimensional Boltzmann equation in half space. Based on the a priori assumption that some strong Sobolev estimates can be obtained, Desvillettes and Villani [11, 12, 29, 30] established an almost exponential decay rate for Boltzmann solutions with large amplitude for general collision kernels and general boundary conditions. However, unlike the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation in the whole space or on the torus, the solutions of the Boltzamnn equation

with many of physical boundary conditions may create singularities in general domains [21], this is one of the major mathematical obstacles to study the nonlinear Boltzmann equation with boundary conditions in the Sobolev space. Recently, the first author of the paper developed an $L^2 - L^\infty$ theory to establish the time decay and continuity of the unique global solution of the Boltzmann equation with four basic boundary conditions: in flow, bounce back, specular reflection and diffuse reflection [17]. The result is then extended to the soft potential case by the second author of the paper and Yang [22]. More recently, the $W^{1,p}$ $(1 < p < 2)$ regularity for the Botlzmann equation in general classes of bounded domain were further proved [18] . There is also a huge number of literatures concerning the mathematical studies for the other kinds of boundary conditions, we refer to [3, 9, 10, 13, 14, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 32] and references therein. Nevertheless, if the initial data $F_0(x, v)$ is away from a global Maxwellian, we are not aware of any results for the initial boundary value problem (1.1). Our results in Theorem 1.1 appears to be the first one devoted to the study of this problem.

Following the ideas developed in [4], the proof for Theorem 1.1 is divided into three steps: Step 1, we show that there exists a unique inhomogeneous solution F which is close enough to a homogeneous solution determined by (1.2), at least for a finite time interval which is inversely proportional to the size of the initial amplitude; Step 2, with the aid of an L^2-L^∞ argument, we prove that F tends to Maxwellian equilibrium exponentially provided that the initial discrepancy is sufficiently small in a suitable sense; Step 3, by combing the first step and the fact that the homogeneous solution G converges to the global maxwellian μ as time goes to infinity, we find a time at which the inhomogeneous solution F enters the small neighbourhood of the global maxwellian μ, this together with the second step enables one to extend the local solution to the global one.

The organization of the paper is arranged as follows: In section 2, we present some known results which will be used in the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we establish a unique local solution to the Boltzmann equation with specular reflection boundary condition, in particular, such a local solution is close enough to a homogeneous solution for the corresponding homogeneous Boltzmann equation. Section 4 is devoted to the existence of a global small solution to the initial boundary value problem of the Boltzmann equation around an absolute Maxwellian. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is concluded in the Section 5.

Notations: We now list some notations used in the paper. Throughout this paper, C denotes some generic positive (generally large) constant and $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ as well as λ_0 denote some generic positive (generally small) constants, where C may take different values in different places. $D \lesssim E$ means that there is a generic constant $C > 0$ such that $D \le CE$. $D \sim E$ means $D \lesssim E$ and $E \lesssim D$. For brevity, We also denote $f_\pm = f|_{\gamma_\pm} = f\mathbf{1}_{\gamma_\pm}$.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we collect some basic results and significant estimates which will be used in the latter proof. The first one is concerned with the global existence of (1.2).

Proposition 2.1. [8, pp.133, pp146] *Assume $G_0(v) = G_0(|v|) \ge 0$ satisfies (1.5), if $\|w_{l_1} G_0\|_\infty < \infty$ for $l_1 > 6$, then the Cauchy problem (1.2) admits a unique global radially symmetric solution $G(t, v) \ge 0$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} \|w_{l_1} G(t)\|_\infty \le C_0, \tag{2.1}$$

for some $C_0 > 0$. Moreover $G(t, v)$ is continuous if $G_0(v)$ is continuous, and there exists $l_1 > l_0 > 6$ such that

$$\sup_{t\to\infty} \|w_{l_0}[G(t) - \mu]\|_\infty = 0.$$

Recall that $Q_{\text{loss}}(F_1, F_2)$ can be rewritten as F_2RF_1 with

$$RF_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |(u-v)\cdot\omega| F_1(u) du d\omega.$$

The following lemma states that RG enjoys a nice lower bound.

Lemma 2.1. [8, pp.99, pp.121]*Let $G(v)$ be a unique global solution constructed in Proposition 2.1, then there exists $\nu_0 > 0$ such that*

$$RG \geq \nu_0 \langle v\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

Finally, we address a crucial weighed estimates on the bilinear Boltzmann operator $Q(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $l > 6$, it holds that*

$$|w_l Q(F_1, F_2)| \leq C\|w_l F_1\|_\infty \|w_l F_2\|_\infty \langle v\rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore, there exists a suitably small $\epsilon > 0$ depending on l such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|w_l Q_{gain}(F_1, F_2)| + |w_l Q_{loss}(F_1, F_2)| + |w_l Q_{gain}(F_2, F_1)| \\ &\qquad \leq \|w_l F_1\|_\infty \left\{ C\|w_{l+1} F_2\|_\infty + \epsilon \|w_l F_2\|_\infty \langle v\rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. The proof for (2.3) and (2.4) is the same as that of (3.7) and (3.8) in [4, pp.397], respectively, we omit the details for brevity. □

3. Local existence for the weakly inhomogeneous data

In this section, we will show that (1.1) admits a unique local-in-time solution around the spatially homogeneous solution $G(t, v)$ of (1.2).

Denote $f = F - G$, then f satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v\cdot\nabla_x f + fRG = Q(f, G) + Q_{\text{gain}}(G, f) + Q(f, f),\ t > 0,\ x \in \Omega,\ v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0(x, v) = F_0 - G_0, \quad f(t, x, v)|_- = f(t, x, R_x v),\ t \geq 0,\ x \in \Omega,\ v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where the fact that $G(v) = G(|v|) = G(|R_x v|)$ was used. To resolve the initial boundary value problem (3.1), it is necessary to introduce the following specular reflection cycles:

Definition 3.1. *Let Ω be convex* (1.3)*. Fix any point $(t, x, v) \notin \gamma_0 \cap \gamma_-$, and define $(t_0, x_0, v_0) = (t, x, v)$, and for $k \geq 1$*

$$(t_{k+1}, x_{k+1}, v_{k+1}) = (t_k - t_{\mathbf{b}}(t_k, x_k, v_k), x_{\mathbf{b}}(x_k, v_k), R_{x_{k+1}} v_k), \tag{3.2}$$

where $R_{x_{k+1}} v_k = v_k - 2(v_k \cdot n(x_{k+1}))n(x_{k+1})$. And we define the specular back-time cycle

$$X_{\mathbf{cl}}(s) \equiv \sum_{k=1} \mathbf{1}_{[t_{k+1}, t_k)}(s) \left\{ x_k + v_k(s - t_k) \right\}, \quad V_{\mathbf{cl}}(s) \equiv \sum_{k=1} \mathbf{1}_{[t_{k+1}, t_k)}(s) v_k.$$

Our main result in this section is the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Given $t > 0$, there exists constant $C_1 > 0$ such that if*

$$\|w_l f_0\|_\infty \leq (16 C_1)^{-1} e^{-(2C_1 \ln 4)t}, \tag{3.3}$$

for $l > 6$, then there exists a unique solution up to time $t > 0$ of (3.1) *satisfying*

$$\|w_l f(t)\|_\infty \leq \|w_l f_0\|_\infty e^{(2C_1 \ln 4)t}. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, if $f_0(x, v)$ is continuous away from the set γ_0, then $f(s, x, v)$ is continuous in $[0, t] \times \{\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \gamma_0\}$.

Proof. Let us first deduce the a priori estimate (3.4) under the assumption (3.3). Suppose $f(t,x,v)$ is a solution to the initial boundary value problem (3.1) on the time interval $[0,t]$. Take $(t,x,v)\notin[0,\infty)\times(\gamma_0\cup\gamma_-)$ and recall the definition t_k in (3.2), to see that there exists a finite integer $m>0$ such that $t_{m+1}\le 0<t_m$, cf. [17, pp.768]. From (3.1), one thus has for $(t,x,v)\notin[0,\infty)\times(\gamma_0\cup\gamma_-)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(t,x,v) =& e^{-\int_0^t RG(s)ds}f_0+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} e^{-\int_s^t RG(\tau)d\tau}\phi_k(s)ds \\ &+\int_0^{t_m} e^{-\int_s^t RG(\tau)d\tau}\phi_m(s)ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

here $\phi_k(s)$ is defined as $\phi_k(s)=\{Q(f,G)+Q_{\rm gain}(G,f)+Q(f,f)\}(s,x_k+(s-t_k)v_k,v_k)$. On the one hand, (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |w_lf| \le& e^{-\int_0^t RG(s)ds}|w_lf_0|+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} e^{-\int_s^t RG(\tau)d\tau}|w_l\phi_k(s)|ds \\ &+\int_0^{t_m} e^{-\int_s^t RG(\tau)d\tau}|w_l\phi_m(s)|ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, Lemma 2.2 and (2.1) in Proposition 2.1 give rise to

$$|w_l\phi_k(s)|\le C_l\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty+\epsilon\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty\langle v\rangle+C_l\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty^2\langle v\rangle, \tag{3.7}$$

for all $0\le k\le m$. Plugging (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5) and applying (2.2) we arrive at

$$\|w_lf(t)\|_\infty\le\|w_lf_0\|_\infty+C_lt\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty+\frac{\epsilon}{\nu_0}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty+\frac{C_l}{\nu_0}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty^2.$$

Next, taking $C_1=\max\{C_l/\nu_0,C_l+1\}$, we further have by letting $\frac{\epsilon}{\nu_0}\le t_*$

$$\|w_lf_0\|_\infty-(1-t_*C_1)\sup_{0\le s\le t_*}\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty+C_1\sup_{0\le s\le t_*}\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty^2\ge 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence, for $t_*=1/(2C_1)$, we get from (3.8) that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t_*}\|w_lf(s)\|_\infty\le 4\|w_lf_0\|_\infty, \tag{3.9}$$

provided that $\|w_lf_0\|_\infty\le 1/(16C_1)$. Since $[\frac{t}{t_*}]t_*\le t\le([\frac{t}{t_*}]+1)t_*$ ($[x]$ denotes the integer part of x), using (3.3) and iterating (3.9) $[\frac{t}{t_*}]$ times lead us to

$$\|w_lf(t)\|_\infty\le\|w_lf_0\|_\infty e^{[\frac{t}{t_*}]\ln 4}\le\|w_lf_0\|_\infty e^{(2C_1\ln 4)t}.$$

We are now in a position to prove the existence of unique solution of (3.1), to do this, we first design the following iteration approximation sequence

$$\begin{cases} \partial_tf^{n+1}+v\cdot\nabla_xf^{n+1}+f^{n+1}RG \\ \qquad =Q(f^n,G)+Q_{\rm gain}(G,f^n)+Q(f^n,f^n),\ t>0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3, \\ f^{n+1}|_{t=0}=f_0(x,v)=F_0-G_0,\quad f^{n+1}(t,x,v)|_-=f^{n+1}(t,x,R_xv),\ t\ge 0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

starting with $f^0(t,x,v)=f_0(x,v)$. It is quite routine to show that $\{f^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is well-defined and enjoys the upper bound

$$\sup_n\sup_{0\le t\le\tau_*}\|w_lf^n(t)\|_\infty\le C\|w_lf_0\|_\infty, \tag{3.10}$$

for some small $\tau_*>0$. In what follows, we will verify that such a sequence is convergent in the weighted L^∞ space for a small $\tau_*>0$. For convenience, we denote ϕ^n by $Q(f^n,G)+$

$Q_{\rm gain}(G,f^n)+Q(f^n,f^n)$, and we also use the similar notation ϕ_k^n as ϕ_k. Let $g^{n+1}=f^{n+1}-f^n$, then one sees that g^{n+1} satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t g^{n+1}+v\cdot\nabla_x g^{n+1}+g^{n+1}RG=\phi^n-\phi^{n-1},\ t>0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ g^{n+1}|_{t=0}=0,\quad g^{n+1}(t,x,v)|_-=g^{n+1}(t,x,R_xv),\ t\geq 0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3,\end{cases}$$

from which, it follows for $(t,x,v)\notin[0,\infty)\times(\gamma_0\cup\gamma_-)$

$$\begin{aligned}g^{n+1}(t,x,v)=&\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}e^{-\int_s^t RG(\tau)d\tau}[\phi_k^n-\phi_k^{n-1}](s)ds\\&+\int_0^{t_m}e^{-\int_s^t RG(\tau)d\tau}[\phi_m^n-\phi_m^{n-1}](s)ds.\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Notice that

$$[\phi_k^n-\phi_k^{n-1}]=Q(g_k^n,G_k)+Q_{\rm gain}(G_k,g_k^n)+Q(g_k^n,f_k^n)+Q(f_k^{n-1},g_k^n),\tag{3.12}$$

where $G_k=G(v_k)$. Letting $\|w_lf_0\|_\infty$ be sufficiently small, for a small $\tau_*>0$, we get from Lemma 2.2, (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\tau_*}\|w_lg^{n+1}(t)\|_\infty\leq c(\tau_*,\|w_lf_0\|_\infty,\epsilon_0)\sup_{0\leq t\leq\tau_*}\|w_lg^n(t)\|_\infty,\tag{3.13}$$

where $0<c(\tau_*,\|w_lf_0\|_\infty,\epsilon_0)<1$. Therefore the iteration approximation sequence $\{f^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges in a small time interval $[0,\tau_*]$ provided both $\|w_lf_0\|_\infty$ and ϵ_0 are small enough. In fact the procedure for obtaining (3.13) can be iterated to get the convergence up to time t due to (3.3) and (3.4). The uniqueness and positivity of the solution follows trivially. In addition, since we have L^∞ convergence, as [17, pp.804], one can further deduce that f is continuous away from γ_0 when Ω is strictly convex, this ends up the proof of Proposition 3.1.

□

4. Exponential decay for the small data around a global Maxwellian

For the Boltzmann equation with boundary condition, if the initial data is assumed to be a small perturbation around a global Maxwellian, as mentioned in the introduction, the existence, uniqueness and regularity as well as their time decay toward the absolute Maxwllian have been intensively studied, see [17, 6, 22]. Generally speaking, there are two basic kinds of perturbative regime around a global equilibrium μ: $F=\mu+h$ and $F=\mu+\sqrt{\mu}h$. One of the advantages of the former decomposition is that it allows much more weaker velocity weight than the latter one when one aims to obtain the global existence and long time behaviors of the solutions with the aid of the weighted L^∞ approach, cf. [17, 6].

Using the splitting $F=\mu+h$, we rewrite (1.1) as

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t h+v\cdot\nabla_x h+Lh=Q(h,h),\ t>0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ h(0,x,v)=h_0(x,v)=F_0-\mu,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ h(t,x,v)|_{n(x)\cdot v<0}=h(t,x,R_xv),\ t\geq 0,\ x\in\Omega,\ v\in\mathbb{R}^3,\end{cases}\tag{4.1}$$

here the linear operator L is defined as

$$Lh=-\{Q(\mu,h)+Q(h,\mu)\}=\nu h-Kh,$$

with $\nu=Q_{\rm loss}(\mu,1)\sim\langle v\rangle$ and $Kh=Q(h,\mu)+Q_{\rm gain}(\mu,h)$.

Our main results in this section is the following:

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that ξ is both strictly convex* (1.3) *and analytic, and the mass and energy* (1.5) *are conserved for $h_0+\mu$. In the case of Ω has any rotational symmetry* (1.4)*, we further require the corresponding angular momentum* (1.6) *is conserved for $h_0+\mu$. There exists $\delta_0>0$, such that if $\|w_l h_0\|_\infty \le \delta_0$ with $l>6$, then there exists a unique global solution $h(t,x,v)$ to the initial boundary value problem* (4.1)*. Moreover, there exists $\lambda>0$ such that*

$$\|w_l h(t)\|_\infty \le Ce^{-\lambda t}\|w_l h_0\|_\infty. \tag{4.2}$$

In addition, if $h_0(x,v)$ is continuous away from the set γ_0, then $h(t,x,v)$ is continuous in $[0,\infty)\times\{\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\gamma_0\}$.

To prove Proposition 4.1, the key point is to find a decomposition of the perturbed Boltzmann linear operator L into

$$L=\nu-\chi_N^c K-\chi_N K,$$

where for $N>0$, $\chi_N=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } |v|<N,\\ 0, & \text{ortherwise}\end{cases}$, and $\chi_N^c=1-\chi_N$. It is important to point out that $\chi_N^c K$ is small compared to ν and $\chi_N K$ has a smooth effect. This idea comes from Caflisch [7] and Arkeryd-Esposito-Pulvirenti [4], for its recent application in the study of the Boltzmann equation in weighted $L^1_v L^\infty_x$ space, we refer to [6, 5]. More precisely, we decompose $h=h_1+\sqrt{\mu}h_2$, then we shall construct (h_1,h_2) solution to the following initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t h_1+v\cdot\nabla_x h_1+\nu h_1=\chi_N^c K h_1+Q(h_1+\sqrt{\mu}h_2,h_1+\sqrt{\mu}h_2),\\ h_1(0,x,v)=h_0(x,v),\quad h_1(t,x,v)|_-=h_1(t,x,R_x v),\end{cases}\tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t h_2+v\cdot\nabla_x h_2+\widetilde{L}h_2=\mu^{-1/2}\chi_N K h_1,\\ h_2(0,x,v)=0,\quad h_2(t,x,v)|_-=h_2(t,x,R_x v),\end{cases}\tag{4.4}$$

here $\widetilde{L}h_2=-\mu^{-1/2}\left\{Q(\mu,\sqrt{\mu}h_2)+Q(\sqrt{\mu}h_2,\mu)\right\}$. It is seen that $h_1+\sqrt{\mu}h_2$ is a solution to the initial boundary value problem (4.1).

It is convenient to consider the following problem before solving the system (4.4)

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t f+v\cdot\nabla_x f+\widetilde{L}f=0,\\ f(0,x,v)=f_0(x,v),\quad f(t,x,v)|_-=f(t,x,R_x v).\end{cases}\tag{4.5}$$

As a matter of fact, (4.5) can be treated by means of an L^2-L^∞ argument, to do this, a weighted L^∞ estimate should be established. Let $l>6$, we denote $\widetilde{f}=w_l f$, and study the equivalent linearized Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t\widetilde{f}+v\cdot\nabla_x\widetilde{f}+\widetilde{L}_w\widetilde{f}=0,\\ \widetilde{f}(0,x,v)=\widetilde{f}_0(x,v)=w_l f_0(x,v),\quad \widetilde{f}(t,x,v)|_-=\widetilde{f}(t,x,R_x v),\end{cases}\tag{4.6}$$

where $\widetilde{L}_w(\cdot)=w_l\widetilde{L}(\frac{\cdot}{w_l})$.

The solution $\widetilde{f}(t,x,v)$ to (4.6) can be expressed through semigroup $U(t)$ as

$$\widetilde{f}(t,x,v)=\{U(t)\widetilde{f}_0\}(x,v),$$

with the initial boundary data given by

$$\{U(0)\widetilde{f}_0\}(x,v)=\widetilde{f}_0(x,v),\ \text{and}\ \{U(0)\widetilde{f}_0\}(x,v)|_{\gamma_-}=\widetilde{f}_0(x,R_x v).$$

As it is shown in [17, pp.731], the following conversation of mass, energy and angular momentum play a significant role in obtaining the time decay rate of (4.5):

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} f(t,x,v)\sqrt{\mu(v)}dxdv=0,\tag{4.7}$$

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 f(t,x,v)\sqrt{\mu(v)}dxdv = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} \{(x-x_0)\times\varpi\}\cdot v f(t,x,v)\sqrt{\mu}dxdv = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Let us now use $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}$ to denote the orthogonal basis of the $L^2_{x,v}$ space spanned by $\{1,(x-x_0)\times\varpi\cdot v,v^2\}\sqrt{\mu}$ and define the $L^2_{x,v}$ projection P as $Pf=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}(f,e_i)e_i$, we also define $\{I-P\}f = f-Pf$.

The following result which has been proved in [17, pp.777, Theorem 8] states the well-posedness and exponential decay of systems (4.5) and (4.6).

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that ξ is both strictly convex* (1.3) *and analytic, and the mass* (4.7) *and energy* (4.8) *are conserved. In the case of Ω has rotational symmetry* (1.4)*, we also assume conservation of corresponding angular momentum* (4.9)*. Let $\widetilde{f_0}=w_l f_0\in L^\infty$ with $l>6$. There exists a unique solution $f(t,x,v)$ to the system* (4.5)*, and $\widetilde{f}=U(t)\widetilde{f_0}$ to the system* (4.6)*. Moreover, there exist $\lambda_0>0$ and $C>0$ such that*

$$\left\|U(t)\widetilde{f_0}\right\|_\infty \le Ce^{-\lambda_0 t}\left\|\widetilde{f_0}\right\|_\infty.$$

With Proposition 4.2 in hand, we now provide the following lemma associated to the well-posedness of the system of (4.4) in weighted L^∞ space.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that ξ is both strictly convex* (1.3) *and analytic, and Ω has rotational symmetry* (1.4)*. Let $l>6$, assume $\sup\limits_t\|w_l g(t)\|_\infty<\infty$, there exists a unique global solution h_2 to the system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_2 + v\cdot\nabla_x h_2 + \widetilde{L}h_2 = \mu^{-1/2}\chi_N Kg, \\ h_2(0,x,v)=0, \quad h_2(t,x,v)|_- = h_2(t,x,R_x v). \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

Moreover, if $P(h_2+\mu^{-1/2}g)=0$ and there exist $\eta_1>0$ and $\lambda_1>0$ such that $\|w_l g\|_\infty\le\eta_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}$, then there exists $0<\lambda_2<\lambda_1$ such that

$$\|w_l h_2\|_\infty \le C\eta_1 e^{-\lambda_2 t}. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Notice that $\sup\limits_t\|w_l g(t)\|_\infty<\infty$, by Duhamel's principle and Proposition 4.2, we see that there is indeed a unique solution h_2 to (4.10), given by

$$w_l h_2 = \int_0^t U(t-s)w_l\mu^{-1/2}\chi_N[Kg](s)ds.$$

Next, if $P(h_2+\mu^{-1/2}g)=0$ and $\|w_l g\|_\infty\le\eta_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}$ with $l>6$, it is straightforward to see that

$$\|w_l P h_2\|_\infty \le \|w_l P(\mu^{-1/2}g)\|_\infty \le \sum_{i=1}^{3}\|w_l(\mu^{-1/2}g,e_i)e_i\|_\infty \le C\|w_l g\|_\infty \le C\eta_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}. \tag{4.12}$$

To prove (4.11), it remains now to show the exponential decay rate for $\{I-P\}h_2$, for this, we first act $\{I-P\}$ to (4.10) to obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\{I-P\}h_2 + v\cdot\nabla_x\{I-P\}h_2 + \widetilde{L}\{I-P\}h_2 = \{I-P\}\left[\mu^{-1/2}\chi_N Kg\right], \\ \{I-P\}h_2(0,x,v)=0,\ \{I-P\}h_2(t,x,v)|_- = \{I-P\}h_2(t,x,R_x v), \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

where we have used the fact that $\int_{\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3} v\cdot\nabla_x h_2 e_i dxdv = 0$ $(i\in\{1,2,3\})$, $v\cdot\nabla_x P h_2 = 0$ and $\{I-P\}\widetilde{L}h_2 = \widetilde{L}\{I-P\}h_2$. Moreover, the fact that the rotational symmetry (1.4) is also used

to handle the boundary condition. Employing Proposition 4.2 again, we get from (4.13)

$$w_l\{I-P\}h_2=\int_0^t U(t-s)w_l\{I-P\}\left\{\mu^{-1/2}\chi_N[Kg]\right\}(s)ds,$$

from which and Lemma 2.2 as well as the assumption on g, one has

$$\|w_l\{I-P\}h_2\|_{L^\infty}\le C_N\eta_1\int_0^t e^{-\lambda_0(t-s)}e^{-\lambda_1 s}ds. \tag{4.14}$$

Let $\lambda_2=\frac{1}{2}\min\{\lambda_0,\lambda_1\}$, (4.14) further yields

$$\|w_l\{I-P\}h_2\|_{L^\infty}\le C_N\eta_1 e^{-\lambda_2 t}.$$

This together with (4.12) implies (4.11), thus the proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete.

□

We now go back to (4.3) which is associated to the solvability of h_1. We first prove the following results.

Lemma 4.2. *There exist constants $\delta_0>0$ and $\lambda_1>0$, such that if*

$$\|w_l h_0\|_\infty<\delta_0 \text{ and } \sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l g(t)\|_\infty<\delta_0,\quad l>6, \tag{4.15}$$

then there exists a unique global solution $h_1(t,x,v)$ to the initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t h_1+v\cdot\nabla_x h_1+\nu h_1=\chi_N^c Kh_1+Q(h_1+g,h_1+g),\\ h_1(0,x,v)=h_0(x,v),\quad h_1(t,x,v)|_-=h_1(t,x,R_xv),\end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

satisfying

$$\|w_l h_1(t)\|_\infty\le Ce^{-\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_0\|_\infty, \tag{4.17}$$

where $C>0$ and is independent of δ_0.

Proof. The existence of unique global solution of (4.16) will be proved via the following iteration scheme

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t h_1^{n+1}+v\cdot\nabla_x h_1^{n+1}+\nu h_1^{n+1}=\chi_N^c Kh_1^{n+1}+Q(h_1^n+g,h_1^n+g),\\ h_1^{n+1}(0,x,v)=h_0(x,v),\quad h_1^{n+1}(t,x,v)|_-=h_1^{n+1}(t,x,R_xv),\end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

starting with $h_1^0=h_0(x,v)$. In what follows, we first show that the approximation sequence $\{e^{\lambda_1 t}w_l h_1^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded, that is we will prove that if there exists $M_0>0$ such that $\sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_1^n(t)\|_\infty\le M_0$ then $\sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_1^{n+1}(t)\|_\infty\le M_0$. As for deriving (3.5), taking $(t,x,v)\notin[0,\infty)\times(\gamma_0\cup\gamma_-)$, by assuming $t_{m+1}\le 0<t_m$ for some finite integer $m>0$, we get from (4.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}w_l h_1^{n+1}(t,x,v)=&e^{-\nu(v)t}w_l h_0+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} e^{-\nu(v)(t-s)}\left\{w_l\chi_N^c Kh_1^{n+1}\right\}(s,x_k+(s-t_k)v_k,v_k)ds\\ &+\int_0^{t_m} e^{-\nu(v)(t-s)}\left\{w_l\chi_N^c Kh_1^{n+1}\right\}(s,x_m+(s-t_m)v_m,v_m)ds\\ &+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} e^{-\nu(v)(t-s)}\left\{w_l Q(h_1^n+g,h_1^n+g)\right\}(s,x_k+(s-t_k)v_k,v_k)ds\\ &+\int_0^{t_m} e^{-\nu(v)(t-s)}\left\{w_l Q(h_1^n+g,h_1^n+g)\right\}(s,x_m+(s-t_m)v_m,v_m)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

For $0<\lambda_1<\nu_0/2$, (4.19) further implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_1^{n+1}(t)\|_\infty\\
\le&\|w_l h_0\|_\infty + e^{\lambda_1 t}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}+\int_0^{t_m}\right\} e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}(t-s)}e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}(t-s)}\left\{\left[\frac{C}{N}+\epsilon\right](1+|v|)\|w_l h_1^{n+1}\|_\infty(s)\right\}ds\\
&+Ce^{\lambda_1 t}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}+\int_0^{t_m}\right\} e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}(t-s)}e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}(t-s)}(1+|v|)\left\{\|w_l h_1^n\|_\infty^2(s)+\delta_0\|w_l h_1^n\|_\infty(s)\right\}ds\\
&+C\delta_0^2 e^{\lambda_1 t}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}+\int_0^{t_m}\right\} e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}(t-s)}e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}(t-s)}e^{-2\lambda_1 s}(1+|v|)ds\\
\le&\|w_l h_0\|_\infty + \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}+\int_0^{t_m}\right\} e^{\lambda_1 s}e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}(t-s)}\left\{\left[\frac{C}{N}+\epsilon\right](1+|v|)\|w_l h_1^{n+1}\|_\infty(s)\right\}ds\\
&+C\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}+\int_0^{t_m}\right\} e^{\lambda_1 s}e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}(t-s)}(1+|v|)\left\{\|w_l h_1^n\|_\infty^2(s)+\delta_0\|w_l h_1^n\|_\infty(s)\right\}ds\\
&+C\delta_0^2\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k}+\int_0^{t_m}\right\} e^{-\frac{\nu(v)}{2}(t-s)}e^{-\lambda_1 s}(1+|v|)ds,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.20}
$$

according to Lemma 2.2 and (4.15). Choosing $N>0$ large enough and $\epsilon>0$ suitably small, we then have from (4.20) that

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_1^{n+1}(t)\|_\infty \le C\delta_0 + C(\delta_0^2+\delta_0 M_0+M_0^2). \tag{4.21}
$$

Therefore $\sup\limits_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_1^{n+1}\|_\infty \le M_0$ follows if both $\delta_0>0$ and $M_0>0$ are sufficiently small.

Next we prove that $\{e^{\lambda_1 t}w_l h_1^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty-$norm. For this, from the difference of system (4.19) for $n+1$ and n, it follows for $(t,x,v)\notin[0,\infty)\times(\gamma_0\cup\gamma_-)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&w_l(h_1^{n+1}-h_1^n)(t,x,v)\\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} e^{-\nu(t-s)}\left\{w_l\chi_N^c K(h_1^{n+1}-h_1^n)\right\}(s,x_k+(s-t_k)v_k,v_k)ds\\
&\quad+\int_0^{t_m} e^{-\nu(t-s)}\left\{w_l\chi_N^c K(h_1^{n+1}-h_1^n)\right\}(s,x_m+(s-t_m)v_m,v_m)ds\\
&\quad+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\int_{t_{k+1}}^{t_k} e^{-\nu(t-s)}\left\{w_l\left[Q(h_1^n+g,h_1^n+g)-Q(h_1^{n-1}+g,h_1^{n-1}+g)\right]\right\}(s,x_k+(s-t_k)v_k,v_k)ds\\
&\quad+\int_0^{t_m} e^{-\nu(t-s)}\left\{w_l\left[Q(h_1^n+g,h_1^n+g)-Q(h_1^{n-1}+g,h_1^{n-1}+g)\right]\right\}(s,x_m+(s-t_m)v_m,v_m)ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
Q(h_1^n+g,h_1^n+g)-Q(h_1^{n-1}+g,h_1^{n-1}+g)=Q(h_1^n-h_1^{n-1},h_1^n+g)+Q(h_1^{n-1}+g,h_1^n-h_1^{n-1}).
$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 and (4.15) again, we have

$$
\sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l(h_1^{n+1}-h_1^n)(t)\|_\infty \le C(M_0+\delta_0)\sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 s}\|w_l(h_1^n-h_1^{n-1})(t)\|_\infty,
$$

where the fact that $\sup_{0\le t\le\infty} e^{\lambda_1 t}\|w_l h_1^n(t)\|_\infty \le M_0$ was also used. Therefore $\{e^{\lambda_1 t} w_l h_1^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty-$norm, the limit h_1 is a desired solution of (4.16). Moreover, letting $M_0 \le \|w_l h_0\|_\infty$ and taking limit in (4.21) leads us to (4.17), this ends the proof of Lemma 4.2. □

Once Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 are obtained, we can now complete

The proof of Proposition 4.1. Recall (4.1) is equivalent to (4.3) and (4.4). We approximate the system of equations (4.3) and (4.4) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_1^{n+1} + v\cdot\nabla_x h_1^{n+1} + \nu h_1^{n+1} = \chi_N^c K h_1^{n+1} + Q(h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^n, h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^n), \\ h_1^{n+1}(0,x,v) = h_0(x,v), \quad h_1^{n+1}(t,x,v)|_- = h_1^{n+1}(t,x,R_x v), \end{cases} \tag{4.22}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_2^{n+1} + v\cdot\nabla_x h_2^{n+1} + \widetilde{L} h_2^{n+1} = \mu^{-1/2}\chi_N K h_1^{n+1}, \\ h_2^{n+1}(0,x,v) = 0, \quad h_2^{n+1}(t,x,v)|_- = h_2^{n+1}(t,x,R_x v), \end{cases} \tag{4.23}$$

and start with $h_1^0 = h_0(x,v)$ and $h_2^0 = 0$. The summation of (4.22) and $\sqrt{\mu}\times$(4.23) yields

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \left\{h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^{n+1}\right\} + v\cdot\nabla_x \left\{h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^{n+1}\right\} + L h_1^{n+1} \\ \qquad = Q(h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^n, h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^n), \\ \left\{h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^{n+1}\right\}(0,x,v) = h_0(x,v), \quad \left\{h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^{n+1}\right\}|_- = \left\{h_1^{n+1} + \sqrt{\mu}h_2^{n+1}\right\}(t,x,R_x v). \end{cases} \tag{4.24}$$

Recall $F_0(x,v) = \mu + h_0(x,v)$, one sees that $\mu^{-1/2}h_0(x,v)$ satisfies (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), therefore, from (4.24), it follows $P(h_2^{n+1} + \mu^{-1/2}h_1^{n+1}) = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$. Furthermore, let us suppose $0 < \eta_1 \le \|w_l h_0\|_\infty$ in Lemma 4.2, we first construct h_1^1 via Lemma 4.2 with $g = h_2^0 = 0$, which has the require exponential decay (4.17), and then determine h_2^1 through Lemma 4.1 with $g = h_1^1$. then applying Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 repeatedly and by means of an induction over n, we deduce that the sequences $\{h_1^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{h_2^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are well-defined and enjoy the following exponential decay estimates

$$\|w_l h_1^n(t)\|_\infty \le Ce^{-\lambda t}\|w_l h_0\|_\infty, \ \|w_l h_2^n(t)\|_\infty \le Ce^{-\lambda t}\|w_l h_0\|_\infty, \tag{4.25}$$

where $\lambda = \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ and C is independent of n.

Next, we regard exactly the same iterative scheme for h_1^{n+1} as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 with g replaced by h_2^n . Since the bounds (4.25) are uniform with respect to n, we are able to derive the same estimates as in the latter proof independently of h_2^n. Namely, $\{h_1^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ can be proved to be a Cauchy sequence in $L^\infty-$norm and therefore converges strongly towards a function h_1. By (4.25) again, the sequence $\{h_2^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty-$norm and thus converges, up to a subsequence, weakly-* toward a function h_2.

Furthermore, taking the weak limit inside the iterative scheme, we see that (h_1, h_2) is a solution to the system (4.3) and (4.4), which implies $h = h_1 + \sqrt{\mu}h_2$ is a solution to the perturbed system (4.1). In addition, taking the limit inside the exponential decays (4.25) leads us to the expected exponential decay (4.2) for h. Finally, the uniqueness, positivity and continuity of the solution follows from the same argument as that of [6, pp.62-68]. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

□

5. The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this final section, we make use of Propositions 3.1, 4.1 and 2.1 to complete

The proof of Theorem 1.1. Thanks to Proposition 2.1, given $\delta_0 > 0$, there exists $t_{**} > 0$ such that

$$\|w_{l_0}[G(t_{**}, v) - \mu]\|_\infty \le \delta_0/2. \tag{5.1}$$

For such two constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $t_{**} > 0$, if $\|w_{l_0} f_0\|$ is small enough, by Proposition 3.1, there exists a unique solution $F(t, x, v)$ to (1.1) such that

$$\|w_{l_0}[F(t_{**}, x, v) - G(t_{**}, v)]\|_\infty \le \delta_0/2. \tag{5.2}$$

(5.1) and (5.2) give rise to

$$\|w_{l_0}[F(t_{**}, x, v) - \mu(v)]\|_\infty \le \delta_0.$$

Now, by Proposition 4.1 with initial time t_{**} and initial datum $h_0 = F(t_{**}) - \mu$, we see that $F(t, x, v) \ge 0$ exists uniquely also for $t > t_{**}$ and converges to μ as $t \to \infty$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgements: YG was supported in part by NSFC grant 10828103, NSF grant 1209437, Simon Research Fellowship and BICMR. SQL was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (contracts: 11471142, 11271160 and 11571063) and China Scholarship Council. SQL would like to express his gratitude for the generous hospitality of the Division of Applied Mathematics at Brown University during his visit.

References

[1] L. Arkeryd, L^∞ estimates for the space-homogeneous Boltzmann equation, *J. Statist. Phys.* **31** (1983), no. 2, 347–361.
[2] L. Arkeryd, Stability in L^1 for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **103** (1988), no. 2, 151–167.
[3] L. Arkeryd and C. Cercignani, A global existence theorem for the initial-boundary value problem for the Boltzmann equation when the boundaries are not isothermal, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **125** (1993), no. 3, 271–287.
[4] L. Arkeryd, R. Esposito and M. Pulvirenti, The Boltzmann equation for weakly inhomogeneous data, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), no. 3, 393–407.
[5] M. Briant and E. Daus, The Boltzmann equation for a multi-species mixture close to global equilibrium, arXiv:1601.00326.
[6] M. Briant and Y. Guo, Asymptotic stability of the Boltzmann equation with Maxwell boundary conditions, arXiv:1511.01305.
[7] R. Caflisch, The Boltzmann equation with a soft potential, II. Nonlinear, spatially-periodic, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **74** (1980), no. 2, 97–109.
[8] T. Carleman, Sur la thorie de l'quation intgrodiffrentielle de Boltzmann (French), *Acta Math.* **60** (1933), no. 1, 91–146.
[9] C. Cercignani, On the initial-boundary value problem for the Boltzmann equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **116** (1992), no. 4, 307–315.
[10] C. Cercignani, R. Illner and M. Pulvirenti, *The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
[11] L. Desvillettes, Convergence to equilibrium in large time for Boltzmann and B.G.K. equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **110** (1990), no. 1, 73–91.
[12] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani, On the trend to global equilibrium for spatially inhomogeneous kinetic systems: the Boltzmann equation, *Invent. Math.* **159** (2005), no. 2, 245–316.
[13] R. Esposito, Y. Guo and R. Marra, Phase transition in a Vlasov-Boltzmann binary mixture, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **296** (2010), no. 1, 1–33.
[14] R. Esposito, Y. Guo, C. Kim and R. Marra, Non-isothermal boundary in the Boltzmann theory and Fourier law, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **323** (2013), no. 1, 177–239.
[15] I. M. Gamba, V. Panferov and C. Villani, Upper Maxwellian bounds for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **194** (2009), no. 1, 253C-282.
[16] F. Golse, B. Perthame and C. Sulem, On a boundary layer problem for the nonlinear Boltzmann equation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **103** (1986), 81–96.
[17] Y. Guo, Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in bounded domains, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **197** (2010), no. 3, 713–809.

[18] Y. Guo, C. Kim, D. Tonon and A. Trescases, Regularity of the Boltzmann equation in convex domains, arXiv:1212.1694.
[19] Y. Guo, C. Kim, D. Tonon and A. Trescases, BV-regularity of the Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains, arXiv:1409.0160.
[20] K. Hamdache, Initial boundary value problems for Boltzmann equation: global existence of week solutions, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **119** (1992) no. 4, 309-353.
[21] C. Kim, Formation and propagation of discontinuity for Boltzmann equation in non-convex domains, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **308** (2011) no. 3, 641–701.
[22] S.-Q. Liu and X.-F. Yang, The initial boundary value problem for the Boltzmann equation with soft potential, submitted.
[23] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, Invariant manifolds for steady Boltzmann flows and applications, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **209** (2013), no. 3, 869–997.
[24] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, Initial-boundary value problem for one-dimensional wave solutions of the Boltzmann equation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60** (2007), no. 3, 295–356.
[25] T.-P. Liu and S.-H. Yu, Boltzmann equation, boundary effects, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **24** (2009), no. 1, 145–157.
[26] C. Mouhot, Rate of convergence to equilibrium for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation with hard potentials, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **261** (2006), no. 3, 629–672.
[27] Y. Shizuta and K. Asano, Global solutions of the Boltzmann equation in a bounded convex domain, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **53** (1977), no. 1, 3–5.
[28] S. Ukai, Solutions of the Boltzmann equations. In: *Pattern and Waves-Qualitative Analysis of Nonlinear Differential Equations*, *Stud. Math. Appl.* **18** (1986), 37–96.
[29] C. Villani, A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory, *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, Vol. I, 71–305, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
[30] C. Villani, Hypocoercivity, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **202** (2009), no. 950, iv+141 pp.
[31] T. Yang and H.-J. Zhao, A half-space problem for the Boltzmann equation with specular reflection boundary condition, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **255** (2005), no.3, 683–726.
[32] S.-H. Yu, Stochastic formulation for the initial-boundary value problems of the Boltzmann equation, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **192** (2009), no. 2, 217–274

(YG) Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, Providence 02912, USA
E-mail address: Yan_Guo@brown.edu

(SQL) Department of Mathematics, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, P.R. China
E-mail address: tsqliu@jnu.edu.cn